Sterna dougallii -- Montagu, 1813

ANIMALIA -- CHORDATA -- AVES -- CHARADRIIFORMES -- LARIDAE

Common names: Roseate Tern; Sterne de Dougall

European Red List Assessment

European Red List Status

LC -- Least Concern, (IUCN version 3.1)

Assessment Information

Year published:	2015
Date assessed:	2015-03-31
Assessor(s):	BirdLife International
Reviewer(s):	Symes, A.
Compiler(s):	Ashpole, J., Burfield, I., Ieronymidou, C., Pople, R., Tarzia, M., Wheatley, H. & Wright, L.

Assessment Rationale

European regional assessment: Least Concern (LC) EU27 regional assessment: Least Concern (LC)

At both European and EU27 scales, although this species may have a small range it is not believed to approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the range size criterion (Extent of Occurrence 10% in ten years or three generations, or with a specified population structure). The population trend appears to be increasing, and hence the species does not approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the population trend criterion (30% decline over ten years or three generations).

For these reasons the species is evaluated as Least Concern within both Europe and the EU27.

Occurrence

Countries/Territories of Occurrence

Native:

France; Ireland, Rep. of; Portugal; Spain; United Kingdom

Vagrant:

Austria; Belgium; Denmark; Germany; Malta; Netherlands; Norway; Poland; Sweden; Switzerland; Gibraltar (to UK)

Population

The European population is estimated at 2,300-2,900 pairs, which equates to 4,500-5,800 mature individuals. The entire population is found in the EU27. For details of national estimates, see <u>Supplementary PDF</u>.

Trend

In Europe the population size is estimated to be increasing. For details of national estimates, see <u>Supplementary PDF</u>.

Habitats and Ecology

The species nests on sand-dunes, sand-spits, shingle beaches, reefs (Snow and Perrins 1998), saltmarshes and rocky, sandy or coral islands, showing a preference for densely vegetated sites in temperate regions (Gochfeld and Burger 1996). It also shows a preference for nest sites close to clear, shallow, sandy fishing grounds in tidal bays and sheltered inshore waters (Snow and Perrins 1998). Throughout the year the species often rests and forages in sheltered estuaries, creeks (Urban et al. 1986), inshore waters and up to several kilometres offshore (Gochfeld and Burger 1996), moving to warm tropical coasts after breeding (Snow and Perrins 1998). The species breeds in large, dense single- or mixed-species colonies that may contain several thousands of pairs. The nest is a bare scrape in sand, shingle or coral rubble (Gochfeld and Burger 1996), preferably in sites surrounded by walls and rocks (Newton and Crowe 2000) or in the shelter of vegetation (in temperate regions) (Richards 1990, Snow and Perrins 1998), also in crevices between and under rocks, or in the entrances to rabbit or Puffin burrows (Snow and Perrins 1998). Clutches are normally two eggs but can be only one in poor food years (Gochfeld and Burger 1996). This species is a specialist forager, and takes a small prey spectrum compared to Common Tern at the same sites (Birdlife International 2000). Its diet consists

predominantly of small pelagic fish (Urban et al. 1986, Gochfeld and Burger 1996), particularly sand eel (Birdlife International 2000, Newton and Crowe 2000) and sprat (Birdlife International 2000) and sometimes clupeids (Birdlife International 2000, Newton and Crowe 2000) and gadoids (Newton and Crowe 2000), although it will also take insects and marine invertebrates (Gochfeld and Burger 1996). Sandeel are particularly important during chick rearing (Newton and Crowe 2000). The species is migratory and Palearctic birds winter in west Africa (Gochfeld and Burger 1996). TT 1.4

Habitats & Altitude					
Habitat (level 1 - level 2)		Importance	Occurrence		
Marine Intertidal - Salt Marshes (Emergent Grasses)			marginal	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Estuaries			suitable	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Macroalgal/Kelp			major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Pelagic			suitable	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Seagrass (Submerged)			major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel			major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Rock and Rocky Reefs			major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy			major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud			major	breeding	
Marine Oceanic - Epipelagic (m)			suitable	breeding	
Marine Oceanic - Epipelagic (m)			suitable	passage	
Marine Oceanic - Mesopelagic (m)			suitable	breeding	
Altitude	max. 18 m		Occasional altitudinal limits		

Threats

The species is threatened by a number of agents, of which hunting in the wintering quarters may be the most significant (Brown and Nettleship 1984, Buckley and Buckley 1984, Cooper et al. 1984, Avery et al. 1995). At the northern European breeding grounds, the most significant threats are human disturbance (e.g., from habitat development, off-road vehicles and recreation (Buckley and Buckley 1984, van Halewyn and Norton 1984)) and predation from both natural and introduced avian and ground predators (Brown and Nettleship 1984, Buckley and Buckley 1984, Cooper et al. 1984, van Halewyn and Norton 1984, Avery et al. 1995, Snow and Perrins 1998). Disturbance and egg-collecting have been stopped in most areas by the use of wardens, but disturbance still threatens some major colonies in the Azores (van Halewyn and Norton 1984, Gochfeld and Burger 1996). Predation by rats, ferrets, red foxes and Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus) occurs locally, and can have significant effects, including complete breeding failure at some Azores colonies (Avery et al. 1995). Natural predators can often take a great toll on localised colonies, particularly when terns are disturbed from the nest by other birds and humans (Buckley and Buckley 1984, Cooper et al. 1984). Habitat loss in northern Europe is not a major problem but has caused the local extinction of some colonies, as have extreme weather events (Avery et al. 1995). Climate change may negatively affect the species but the exact mechanisms are not known (Newbery 1999). The species is also vulnerable to pollution and disease (Brown and Nettleship 1984, Avery et al. 1995).

Threats & Impacts							
Threat (level 1)	Threat (level 2)	Impact and Stresses					
Agriculture & aquaculture	Marine & freshwater aquaculture (scale unknown/ unrecorded)	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
		Ongoing	Unknown	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Unknown		
		Stresses					
		Indirect ecosystem effects					
Biological resource use	Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources (unintentional effects: (large scale) [harvest])	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
		Ongoing	Unknown	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Unknown		
		Stresses					
		Species mortality					
Biological resource use	Hunting & trapping terrestrial animals (intentional use - species is the target)	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
		Ongoing	Minority (<50%)	Slow, Significant Declines	Low Impact		
		Stresses					
		Species mortality					

Threats & Impacts						
Threat (level 1)	Threat (level 2)	Impact and Stresses				
Climate change &	Habitat shifting &	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
severe weather	alteration	Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
			Stre	esses		
		Indirect ecosystem et	ffects			
Energy production	Renewable energy	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
& mining		Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
			Stre	esses		
		Species mortality; Re	duced reproductive su	uccess		
Human intrusions & disturbance	Recreational	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
	activities	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
			Stresses			
		Species disturbance; Reduced reproductive success				
Human intrusions &	Work & other	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
disturbance	activities	Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
			Stre	esses		
		Species disturbance				
Invasive and other	Common Starling	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes &	(Sturnus vulgaris)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
diseases			Stre	esses	•	
		Species mortality; Re	duced reproductive su	uccess		
Invasive and other	Eurasian Buzzard	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes &	(Buteo buteo)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
diseases		Stresses				
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success				
Invasive and other	Ferret (Mustela	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes &	furo)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
diseases		Stresses				
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success				
Invasive and other	Peregrine Falcon	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes &	(Falco peregrinus)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
diseases		Stresses				
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success				
Invasive and other	Red Fox (Vulpes	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes & diseases	vulpes)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
		Stresses				
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success				
Invasive and other	Unspecified rats (Rattus spp.)	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
problematic species, genes & diseases		Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact	
		Stresses				
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success				
Natural system modifications	Other ecosystem modifications	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
		Stresses				
		Indirect ecosystem e	ffects			
Pollution	Industrial & military	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact	
	effluents (type	Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
	unrecorded)		Stre	esses		
		Ecosystem degradation				

Conservation

Conservation Actions Underway

Breeding birds are fully protected by national and international law but at sea outside of European territorial waters or in the coastal waters of other countries protection is limited (Tucker and Heath 1994). An action plan for the recovery of the European population was launched in 1987 (Avery 1987) and most of its recommendations have been implemented (Avery et al. 1995). An International Species Action Plan was published in 1999 (Newbery 1999).

Conservation Actions Proposed

Legislative protection and enforcement should be introduced for the entire range of this species (Tucker and Heath 1994). Breeding pairs are known to be attracted to coastal locations where artificial nesting sites have been constructed (e.g. beaches of bare shingle and islands or rafts covered with sparse vegetation) (Burgess and Hirons 1992) and nesting-boxes provided (chicks may also use nest-boxes as shelters if adults do not nest in them directly) (Avery et al. 1995, Casey et al. 1995, Newton and Crowe 2000). Increased breeding successes can also be gained through nest-site vegetation management (Casey et al. 1995, Newton and Crowe 2000), landscaping (e.g. creating terraces or infilling flooded hollows), flood prevention (Newton and Crowe 2000), and continuous wardening to minimise unauthorised disturbance (Casey et al. 1995, Newton and Crowe 2000). Non-lethal predator control (e.g. destroying eggs and nests of gull species attempting to nest on islands) can also be successful in increasing the overall breeding success of the species (Casey et al. 1995, Leonard et al. 2004). Colonies which seem abandoned should still be protected and maintained to allow recolonization. At selected sites population and productivity monitoring should continue (Tucker and Heath 1994).

Bibliography

Avery, M.I. 1987. Protection of *Sterna dougallii*. Report by RSPB/IWC to the EC under contract no. 12.05.87, 003832.

Avery, M.I., Coulthard, N.D., del Nevo, A.J., Leroux, A., Medeiros, F.M., Merne, O., Moralee, A., Ntiamoa-Baidu, Y., O'Briain, M. and Wallace, E. 1995. A recovery plan for Roseate Terns in the east Atlantic: an international programme. *Bird Conservation International* 5: 441-453.

BirdLife International. 2000. *The Development of Boundary Selection Criteria for the Extension of Breeding Seabird Special Protection Areas into the Marine Environment*. OSPAR Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic. Vlissingen (Flushing).

Brown, R.B.G. and Nettleship, D.N. 1984. The seabirds of north-eastern North America: their present status and conservation requirements. In: Croxall, J.P., Evans, P.G.H. and Schreiber, R.W. (ed.), *Status and conservation of the world's seabirds*, pp. 85-100. International Council for Bird Preservation, Cambridge, U.K.

Buckley, P.A. and Buckley, F.G. 1984. Seabirds of the north and middle Atlantic coasts of the United States: their status and conservation. In: Croxall, J.P., Evans, P.G.H. and Schreiber, R.W. (ed.), *Status and conservation of the world's seabirds*, pp. 101-133. International Council for Bird Preservation, Cambridge, U.K.

Burgess, N.D. and Hirons, J.M. 1992. Creation and management of artificial nesting sites for wetland birds. *Journal of Environmental Management* 34(4): 285-295.

Casey, S., Moore, N., Ryan, L., Merne, O.J., Coveney, J.A. and del Nevo, A. 1995. The roseate tern conservation project on Rockabill, Co. Dublin: a six year review 1989-1994. *Irish Birds* 5(3): 251-264.

Cooper, J., Williams, A.J. and Britton, P.L. 1984. Distribution, population sizes and conservation of breeding seabirds in the Afrotropical region. In: Croxall, J.P., Evans, P.G.H. and Schreiber, R.W. (ed.), *Status and conservation of the world's seabirds*, pp. 403-419. International Council for Bird Preservation, Cambridge, U.K.

Gochfeld, M. and Burger, J. 1996. Roseate Tern (*Sterna dougallii*). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. and de Juana, E. (eds.) 2014. *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona. (retrieved from http://www.hbw.com/node/54021 on 2 April 2015).

Leonard, M., Boyne, A. and Boates, S. 2004. Status and management of roseate terns (*Sterna dougallii*) in Nova Scotia. *Proceedings of the Nova Scotian Institute of Science* 42(2): 253-262.

Newbery, P. 1999. International (East Atlantic) Action Plan for Roseate Tern *Sterna dougallii*. Birdlife International, UK.

Newton, S. F. and Crowe, O. 2000. *Roseate Terns - The Natural Connection*. IWC-BirdWatch Ireland, Monkstown, County Dublin.

Richards, A. 1990. *Seabirds of the northern hemisphere*. Dragon's World Ltd, Limpsfield, U.K. Snow, D.W. and Perrins, C.M. 1998. *The Birds of the Western Palearctic vol. 1: Non-Passerines*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Tucker, G.M. and Heath, M.F. 1994. *Birds in Europe: their conservation status*. BirdLife Conservation Series no. 3, BirdLife International, Cambridge.

van Halewyn, R. and Norton, R.L. 1984. The status and conservation of seabirds in the Caribbean. In: Croxall. J.P. (ed.), *Seabird Status and Conservation: A Supplement*, pp. 169-222. International Council for Bird Preservation, Cambridge, U.K.

Map (see overleaf)

European Regional Assessment



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply any official endorsement, acceptance or opinion by IUCN.