


# *Tringa erythropus* SPOTTED REDSHANK



**SPEC 3** (1994: —) **Status** (Declining)

**Criteria** Moderate recent decline


**European IUCN Red List Category** —

**Criteria** —

**Global IUCN Red List Category** —

**Criteria** —

*Tringa erythropus* breeds in Fennoscandia and northern Russia, with Europe accounting for less than a quarter of its global breeding range. Its European breeding population is relatively small (<42,000 pairs), but was stable between 1970–1990. Although the species remained broadly stable in Russia and Norway during 1990–2000, the key population in Finland declined (no trend data were available for Sweden), and the species underwent a moderate decline (>10%) overall. Consequently, this previously Secure species is now provisionally evaluated as Declining.



Country	Breeding pop. size (pairs)	Year(s)	Trend	Mag.%	References
Finland	10,000 – 15,000	98–02	–	<b>20</b>	
Norway	(2,000 – 6,000)	90–03	(0)	(0–19)	
Russia	(1,500 – 10,000)	90–00	(F)	(20–29)	28,29,60
Sweden	5,000 – 11,000)	99–00	?	–	
<b>Total (approx.)</b>	<b>19,000 – 42,000</b>				<b>Overall trend Moderate decline</b>
<b>Breeding range</b>	<b>&gt;500,000 km<sup>2</sup></b>				<b>Gen. length &lt;3.3 % Global pop. 5–24</b>
Country	Winter pop. size (individuals)	Year(s)	Trend	Mag.%	References
Albania	<b>0 – 400</b>	95–02	–	<b>50–79</b>	
Belgium	<b>150 – 150</b>	95–00	(0)	(0–19)	1
France	316 – 550	98–02	?	–	9
Greece	<b>12 – 610</b>	95–99	<b>F</b>	<b>30–49</b>	
Rep. Ireland	<b>34 – 106</b>	94–00	0	0–19	
Italy	200 – 1,000	02	?	–	22
Netherlands	<b>95 – 95</b>	99–01	(F)	(–)	3,4,8,9,11,12
Portugal	12 – 68	98–02	(F)	(–)	22
Serbia & MN	200 – 700	90–02	F	30–59	
Slovenia	0 – 3	90–00	F	0–100	
Spain	<b>34 – 2,700</b>	90–01	–	<b>20–29</b>	19
Turkey	(200 – 600)	91–01	(0)	(0–19)	
UK	138 – 138	94–99	+	35	48,52
<b>Total (approx.)</b>	<b>&gt;1,400</b>				<b>Overall trend Small decline</b>
<b>% in European IBAs</b>	<b>&gt;70</b>				<b>Gen. length &lt;3.3 % Global pop. &lt;5</b>

2000 population	27	73		
	10	38	52	
Data quality (%) – <i>Tringa erythropus</i>				
□ unknown   □ poor   □ medium   ■ good				
1990–2000 trend	27	27	46	
1970–1990 trend	31	69		
2000 population	17	48	35	
	15	76	9	
Data quality (%) – <i>Tringa totanus</i> (see p. 127, bottom)				
□ unknown   □ poor   □ medium   ■ good				
1990–2000 trend	21	20	51	8
1970–1990 trend	8	27	53	12