## Sterna paradisaea -- Pontoppidan, 1763

ANIMALIA -- CHORDATA -- AVES -- CHARADRIIFORMES -- LARIDAE

Common names: Arctic Tern; Sterne arctique

#### **European Red List Assessment**

European Red List Status				
LC Least Concern, (IUCN version 3.1)				

#### **Assessment Information**

Year published:	2015
Date assessed:	2015-03-31
Assessor(s):	BirdLife International
Reviewer(s):	Symes, A.
Compiler(s):	Ashpole, J., Burfield, I., Ieronymidou, C., Pople, R., Tarzia, M., Wheatley, H. & Wright, L.

#### **Assessment Rationale**

European regional assessment: Least Concern (LC) EU27 regional assessment: Least Concern (LC)

In Europe this species has an extremely large range, and hence does not approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the range size criterion (Extent of Occurrence 10% in ten years or three generations, or with a specified population structure). Despite the fact that the population trend appears to be decreasing, the decline is not believed to be sufficiently rapid to approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the population trend criterion (30% decline over ten years or three generations). For these reasons the species is evaluated as Least Concern in Europe.

Within the EU27 this species has a very large range, and hence does not approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the range size criterion (Extent of Occurrence 10% in ten years or three generations, or with a specified population structure). The population trend appears to be stable, and hence the species does not approach the thresholds for Vulnerable under the population trend criterion (30% decline over ten years or three generations). For these reasons the species is evaluated as Least Concern in the EU27.

**Occurrence** 

#### **Countries/Territories of Occurrence**

#### Native:

Austria; Belgium; Denmark; Faroe Islands (to DK); Greenland (to DK); Estonia; Finland; France; Germany; Greece; Iceland; Ireland, Rep. of; Latvia; Lithuania; Netherlands; Norway; Svalbard and Jan Mayen (to NO); Poland; Portugal; Russian Federation; Spain; Sweden; United Kingdom

#### Vagrant:

Belarus; Bulgaria; Croatia; Cyprus; Czech Republic; Hungary; Italy; Luxembourg; Montenegro; Serbia; Slovakia; Switzerland; Turkey; Ukraine; Gibraltar (to UK)

**Population** 

The European population is estimated at 564,000-906,000 pairs, which equates to 1,130,000-1,810,000 mature individuals. The population in the EU27 is estimated at 160,000-211,000 pairs, which equates to 320,000-422,000 mature individuals. For details of national estimates, see <u>Supplementary PDF</u>.

**Trend** 

In Europe the population size is estimated to be decreasing by less than 25% in 40.2 years (three generations). In the EU27 the population size is estimated to be stable. For details of national estimates, see <u>Supplementary PDF</u>.

#### **Habitats and Ecology**

The species breeds along northern coastlines (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and on inshore islands, as well as inland on tundra and forest-tundra (Flint et al. 1984). It shows a preference for habitats with a vegetation cover of less than 40%, nesting on sand or shingle beaches, ridges (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and spits, rocky ground and small islands (Flint et al. 1984) in lakes and coastal lagoons (Gochfeld et al. 2014). It may also nest on islets

or banks along rivers (Snow and Perrins 1998), on swampy tundra and peatlands with bog hummocks (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and reed-covered flats (Flint et al. 1984), or on inland heaths, rough pastures, meadows (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and sedge grassland (Snow and Perrins 1998) not far from water (Flint et al. 1984). The species also forages offshore, in ice-filled coastal bays or over wet tundra (Gochfeld et al. 2014). On passage it largely flies over open ocean (Snow and Perrins 1998) resting at sea on kelp, logs or flotsam, but may occur inland or along coastlines on beaches, reefs and spits (Higgins and Davies 1996). It breeds between May and July (although the exact timing varies with temperature and food availability) in solitary pairs or colonies of a few to several hundred pairs (usually 2–25). The nest is a shallow scrape (Gochfeld et al. 2014) in sand, shingle or turf (Richards 1990) on beaches, ridges and spits, rocky ground, small islands in lakes, coastal lagoons (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and rivers (Snow and Perrins 1998), swampy tundra and peatlands with bog hummocks (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and reed-covered flats (Flint et al. 1984), or on inland heaths, rough pastures, meadows (Gochfeld et al. 2014) and sedge grassland (Snow and Perrins 1998) not far from water (Flint et al. 1984). It will also nest on artificial structures. Clutches are two to three eggs. Its diet consists predominantly of fish as well as crustaceans (especially planktonic species), molluscs, insects (e.g. caterpillars. Chironomidae) and earthworms. It will also take berries in the early spring on arrival on its breeding grounds but does not readily switch to other prey items when preferred prey supplies fail. The species is a very strong migrant and makes exceptional long-distance movements offshore or along western continental coastlines (Melville and Shortridge 2006, Gochfeld et al. 2014) between its high Arctic breeding grounds and Antarctic wintering grounds (Gochfeld et al. 2014).

Habitats & Altitude	Importance	Occurrence	
Habitat (level 1 - level 2)	Importance	Occurrence	
Grassland - Tundra	suitable	breeding	
Marine Coastal/Supratidal - Coastal Brackish/Saline Lagoons/Marine Lagoons	kes suitable	breeding	
Marine Intertidal - Sandy Shoreline and/or Beaches, Sand Bars, Spits, E	tc suitable	breeding	
Marine Intertidal - Shingle and/or Pebble Shoreline and/or Beaches	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Macroalgal/Kelp	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Macroalgal/Kelp	major	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Pelagic	suitable	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Pelagic	suitable	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Seagrass (Submerged)	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Seagrass (Submerged)	major	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel	major	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Rock and Rocky Reefs	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Rock and Rocky Reefs	major	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy	major	non-breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud	major	breeding	
Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud	major	non-breeding	
Wetlands (inland) - Bogs, Marshes, Swamps, Fens, Peatlands	suitable	breeding	
Wetlands (inland) - Permanent Freshwater Lakes (over ha)	suitable	breeding	
Wetlands (inland) - Tundra Wetlands (incl. pools and temporary waters from snowmelt) suitable			
Altitude max. 100 m	Occasional altitudinal lim	nits	

**Threats** 

The species is potentially threatened by climate change because it has a geographically bounded distribution: its global distribution is restricted to within c.10° latitude from the polar edge of continent within 20–50% of current vegetation type is projected to disappear under a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub> levels (Birdlife International, unpublished data). In many parts of Scandinavia, declines have been caused in the past by egg collecting, however this has decreased in recent years allowing the population to recover (Gochfeld et al. 2014). In some areas predation by Starlings (Sturnus vulgaris) (Horobin 1971) and American Mink (Mustela vison) can be a problem (Hagemeijer and Balir 1997) and mortality has been recorded as a result of red tide (Horobin 1971). In northern Britain the collapse of sand eel stocks have caused a crash in the population and in Svalbard the population may be vulnerable to oil, particularly in the post-breeding period (Gochfeld et al. 2014). Pollution is likely a major factor in the species's decline and yachting and other leisure activities have led to an increase in disturbance. Declines have also been correlated with gull abundance (Hagemijer and Blair 1997).

Threats & Impac	<u>cts</u>						
Threat (level 1)	Threat (level 2)	lmpact and Stresses					
Biological resource	Fishing & harvesting	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
use	aquatic resources (unintentional effects: (large scale) [harvest])	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Slow, Significant Declines	Medium Impact		
		Stresses					
	,	Indirect ecosystem effects					
Biological resource use	Hunting & trapping terrestrial animals (intentional use - species is the target)	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
		Ongoing	Minority (<50%)	Slow, Significant Declines	Low Impact		
		Stresses					
		Species mortality					
Climate change &	Habitat shifting & alteration	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
severe weather		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
				esses			
		Indirect ecosystem effects					
Climate change &	Other impacts	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
severe weather		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
		- 0- 0		resses			
		Indirect ecosystem effects					
Climate change &	Storms & flooding	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
severe weather		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
		Chigothig		resses	OTIKITOWIT		
		Indirect ecosystem	n effects; Species morta				
Energy production	Renewable energy	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
& mining	Renewable energy	Ongoing	Minority (<50%)	Causing/Could	Low Impact		
		cause fluctuations					
		Stresses Indirect ecosystem effects; Species mortality; Species disturbance					
		•					
Human intrusions & disturbance	Recreational activities	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
aistai barice	detivities	Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
				resses			
		Species disturband					
Invasive and other problematic	American Mink	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
species, genes & diseases	(Neovison vison)	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Medium Impact		
		Stresses					
		Species mortality; Reduced reproductive success					
Invasive and other	Common Starling (Sturnus vulgaris)	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
problematic species, genes &		Ongoing	Unknown	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Unknown		
diseases			Str	esses			
		Species mortality					
Invasive and other	Unspecified	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
problematic species, genes &	DINOFLAGELLATA	Ongoing	Unknown	Causing/Could cause fluctuations	Unknown		
diseases		Stresses					
		Indirect ecosystem effects; Species mortality					
Natural system	Other ecosystem modifications	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
modifications		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
		5 5		resses			
		Ecosystem degradation; Indirect ecosystem effects					
Pollution	Herbicides and pesticides	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
		Ongoing	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
		- 11601116			JOHNHOWH		
		Species mortality Stresses					
Pollution	Industrial & military	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact		
onution	effluents (type			-	-		
	unknown/	Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	Unknown	Unknown		

Threats & Impacts								
Threat (level 1)	Threat (level 2)	Impact and Stresses						
		Stresses						
	ļ	Species mortality						
Pollution	Oil spills	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact			
		Past, Likely to Return	Majority (50-90%)	Rapid Declines	Past Impact			
		Stresses						
		Species mortality						
Transportation & service corridors	Shipping lanes	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact			
		Ongoing	Majority (50-90%)	No decline	Low Impact			
		Stresses						
		Species disturbance						

Conservation

### **Conservation Actions Underway**

CMS Appendix II. EU Birds Directive Annex I. Bern Convention Appendix II. In the Baltic Sea, removing feral American Mink (Neovison vison) from a large archipelago with many small islands resulted in an increase in the breeding density of this species in the area (Nordstrom et al. 2003).

## **Conservation Actions Proposed**

Gull control measures may also be practised successfully at some sites to reduce predation and displacement, especially when carried out in conjunction with the use of recordings and models to induce recolonistion of nesting terns (Buckley and Buckley 1984). This species also benefits from the removal of American Mink (Nordstrom et al. 2003).

**Bibliography** 

Buckley, P.A. and Buckley, F.G. 1984. Seabirds of the north and middle Atlantic coasts of the United States: their status and conservation. In: Croxall, J.P., Evans, P.G.H. and Schreiber, R.W. (ed.), *Status and conservation of the world's seabirds*: 101-133. International Council for Bird Preservation, Cambridge, U.K. Flint, V.E., Boehme, R.L., Kostin, Y.V. and Kuznetsov, A.A. 1984. *A field guide to birds of the USSR*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

Gochfeld, M., Burger, J., de Juana, E. and Garcia, E.F.J. 2014. Arctic Tern (*Sterna paradisaea*). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. and de Juana, E. (eds.) 2014. *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona. (retrieved from http://www.hbw.com/node/54026 on 2 April 2015).

Higgins, P.J. and Davies, S.J.J.F. 1996. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic birds Vol 3: snipe to pigeons*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Horobin, J.M. (1971). Studies on the breeding biology of arctic terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) (= *S. macrura*) with special reference to age, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online:http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/8648

Melville, D.S. and Shortridge, K.F. 2006. Migratory waterbirds and avian influenza in the East Asian-Australasian Flyway with particular reference to the 2003-2004 H5N1 outbreak. In: Boere, G., Galbraith, C. and Stroud, D. (ed.), *Waterbirds around the world*, pp. 432-438. The Stationary Office, Edinburgh, UK.

Moller, A.P., Flensted-Jensen, E. and Mardal, W. 2006. Dispersal and climate change: a case study of the Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*. *Global Change Biology* 12: 2005-2013.

Moller, A.P., Flensted-Jensen, E., Mardal, W. 2006. Rapidly advancing laying date in a seabird and the changing advantage of early reproduction. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 75: 657-665.

Nordström, M., Högmander, J., Nummelin, J., Laine, J., Laanetu, N. and Korpimäki, E. 2003. Effects of feral mink removal on seabirds, waders and passerines on small islands in the Baltic Sea. *Biological Conservation* 109: 359-368.

Richards, A. 1990. Seabirds of the northern hemisphere. Dragon's World Ltd, Limpsfield, U.K.

Snow, D.W. and Perrins, C.M. 1998. *The Birds of the Western Palearctic vol. 1: Non-Passerines*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Vahatalo, A.V., Rainio, K., Lehikoinen, A. and Lehikoinen, E. 2004. Spring arrival of birds depends on the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Journal of Avian Biology* 35: 210-216.

Map (see overleaf)

# European Regional Assessment



# Sterna paradisaea

# Range

Extant (breeding)

Citation: BirdLife International (2015) European Red List of Birds









